UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,473	04/29/2005	Carlos F. Fuente	GB920020085US1	4063
46335 DILLON & YU	7590 05/29/200 IDELL, LLP	8	EXAMINER	
8911 N CAPITA	AL OF TEXAS HWY		LOONAN, ERIC T	
SUITE 2110 AUSTIN, TX 78759			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2189	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/533,473	FUENTE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ERIC LOONAN	2189	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02.</u>	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 7,8,13 and 14 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7,8,13 and 14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 29 April 2005 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a) accepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Art Unit: 2189

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is the response to applicant's remarks submitted 2 January 2008 based on application 10/533,473 originally filed 29 April 2005. Claims 1-6, 9-12, and 15-18 have been cancelled by the applicant. Claims 7-8 and 13-14 are currently pending and have been considered below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 7-8 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ohran (US Patent 5,835,953).
- 3. Claim 7: Ohran teaches a method for performing lock management for a flash copy in a shared storage system, said method comprising: designating one node within said shared storage system an owner node for metadata relating to all input/output (I/O) relationships of a region of storage (Fig 1, 12 Primary System); designating remaining nodes within said shared storage system client nodes (Fig 1, 14 Backup System); in response to a host I/O request arriving at one of said client nodes (Fig 5, 94 where the backup system initiates the backup), suspending said I/O request by said one client node and inquiring said owner node if said region of storage associated with said I/O request has been copied (Fig 5, 100); placing a lock record by said owner node against an appropriate metadata for said region of storage associated with said I/O request if

Application/Control Number: 10/533,473

Art Unit: 2189

said region of storage associated with said I/O request has not been copied (Fig 5, 102); if said I/O request is a Target Read (Fig 6, 112 – Backup Read Request), transferring data to a host by said one client node via a Flash Copy algorithm, and sending an UNL message to said owner node by said one client node at the completion of said data transfer; and removing said lock record by said owner node from its metadata table upon the receipt of said UNL message (Fig 6, 118 and 120).

Page 3

- 4. Claim 8: The method of claim 7, wherein said method further includes: if said I/O request is a Target Write or Source Write (Fig 10, 214 or 216), performing a copy-on-write by said one client node, and sending an UNLC request to said owner node by said one client node at the completion of said copy-on-write (Fig 10, 224); and upon the receipt of said UNLC request, marking by said owner node in its metadata a region affected; removing said lock record; informing any waiting requests that said region has been copied and issuing an UNLD message to said one client node; upon the receipt of said UNLD message, releasing said suspended write operation by said one client node and completing said write operation to said host (Fig 10, 230).
- 5. Claim 13: Ohran teaches a computer storage medium having a computer program product for performing lock management for a flash copy in a shared storage system, said computer storage medium comprising: computer program code for designating one node within said shared storage system an owner node for metadata relating to all input/output (I/O) relationships of a region of storage (Fig 1, 12 Primary System); computer program code for designating remaining nodes within said shared storage system client nodes (Fig 1, 14 Backup System); computer program code for,

Application/Control Number: 10/533,473

Art Unit: 2189

in response to a host I/O request arriving at one of said client nodes (Fig 5, 94 where the backup system initiates the backup); suspending said I/O request by said one client node and inquiring said owner node if said region of storage associated with said I/O request has been copied (Fig 5, 100); computer program code for placing a lock record by said owner node against an appropriate metadata for said region of storage associated with said I/O request if said region of storage associated with said I/O request has not been copied (Fig 5, 102); computer program code for, if said I/O request is a Target Read (Fig 6, 112 – Backup Read Request), transferring data to a host by said one client node via a Flash Copy algorithm, and sending an UNL message to said owner node by said one client node at the completion of said data transfer; and computer program code for removing said lock record by said owner node from its metadata table upon the receipt of said UNL message (Fig 6, 118 and 120).

Page 4

6. Claim 14: The computer storage medium of claim 13, said method further comprising: computer program code for, if said I/O request is a Target Write or Source Write (Fig 10, 214 or 216), performing a copy-on-write by said one client node, and sending an UNLC request to said owner node by said one client node at the completion of said copy-on-write (Fig 10, 224); and upon the receipt of said UNLC request, computer program code for marking by said owner node in its metadata a region affected; computer program code for removing said lock record; computer program code for informing any waiting requests that said region has been copied and issuing an UNLD message to said one client node; upon the receipt of said UNLD message,

Art Unit: 2189

computer program code for releasing said suspended write operation by said one client node and completing said write operation to said host (Fig 10, 230).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's response filed 2 January based on Office Action mailed on 20 December 2007 has been fully considered below.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102(b)

- 8. With respect to applicant's argument that the prior art of record does not teach Claim 7's step of "designating remaining nodes within said shared storage system client nodes" on the basis of Figure 1's depiction of multiple primary systems, the examiner further directs the applicant to Column 8, Lines 3 -25 of Ohran where the primary system is further described as being "one or more" systems.
- 9. With respect to applicant's argument that the prior art of record does not teach Claim 7's step of "a host I/O request arriving at one of said client nodes", the examiner directs the applicant again to Column 8, Lines 3 -25 of Ohran where the primary system can be a "stand alone system". Thus, given the broadest reasonable interpretation of a "host", the examiner considers the primary system could include one such instance of a host.
- 10. With respect to applicant's argument that the prior art of record does not teach Claim 7's step of "in response to a host I/O request", the examiner directs the applicant to Column 20, Lines 50-65 of Ohran which notes that step 100 proceeds after initiation of the backup system.

Art Unit: 2189

preserves the data from changes.

11. With respect to applicant's argument that the prior art of record does not teach Claim 7's step of "suspending said I/O request", the examiner interprets, in the broadest reasonable interpretation of a "suspension", the process of identifying a logically consistent state for the purposes of establishing a snapshot as a suspension of the I/O request (Column 20, Lines 50-65).

12. With respect to applicant's argument that the prior art of record does not teach Claim 7's step of "placing a lock record by said owner node against an appropriate metadata for said region of storage associated with said I/O request if said region of storage associated with said I/O request has not been copied", the examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant's stance that taking a snapshot of the data is completely different from "placing a lock record" on the data. The examiner interprets, in the broadest reasonable interpretation of a "lock", that a snapshot acts as a lock and

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ERIC LOONAN whose telephone number is (571)272-6994. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30am-5:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Reginald Bragdon can be reached on (571) 272-4204. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2189

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Eric Loonan/ Examiner, Art Unit 2189

/Reginald G. Bragdon/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2189